

Making Personal Data Portability More Effective in the Digital Economy: Is there a Need for Personal Information Management Systems and the Blockchain?"

Jan Krämer University of Passau & Centre on Regulation in Europe (CERRE)



Focused on portability of personal data in online markets

- 1. The EU legal framework on data portability (in brief)
- 2. Data Transfer Project
- 3. Economic aspects of data portability
- 4. Data Portabilty and the blockchain?

Data Portability means **one-way** data transfer from one data controller to another data controller on the **explicit request** of the data subject

Krämer, Senellart & de Streel (2020), CERRE Policy Report



	Personal data	Non-personal data
Horizontal	GDPR (2016)Competition law	 DCD (2019) in B2C FFDR (2018) in B2B Competition law
Sector- Specific	 Financial: PSD2 (2015) & UK Open Banl Automotive: Motor Vehicle Regulation Energy: Electricity Directive (2019) 	king (2016) (2018)



Scope of data covered

- Volunteered Data
 Data actively and knowingly provided by the data subject such as name, age, email address, likes;
- Observed Data

Data provided by the data subject by virtue of the use of the service or the device, such as search history, traffic and localisation data, the heartbeat tracked by a wearable device \rightarrow limits are not very clear

• Not(!) Inferred Data

Some limitations

- Data processing must be based on consent and be carried out by automated means
- No specific data format required ("common, machine-readable")
- Up to one month to comply with request (without undue delay)
- Legal uncertainty when rights of others are affected (e.g. tagged photos)
- Data minimisation vs. data portability

Chair of Internet and Telecommunications Business, Prof. Dr. Jan Krämer



1. The EU legal framework on data portability

2. Data Transfer Project

- 3. Economic aspects of data portability
- 4. Data portabilty and the lockchain?

Krämer, Senellart & de Streel (2020), CERRE Policy Report

Data Transfer Project (DTP)

Data Transfer Project



Open source software project to facilitate data portability with marquee developers





Without the DTP Each provider has to build and maintain Adapters for every other provider's proprietary APIs and, potentially, data formats



With the DTP Each provider only has to build and maintain an API that supports the DTP Data Models, which are based on standard formats where available



https://datatransferproject.dev/

DTP is still in its infancy, with Google being the main contributor. Overall very little progress has been made, given the supporting firms





Institution	Proportion of changes (commits)	Proportion of changes (source code lines)
Google	83,21 %	80,96 %
Facebook	10,05 %	3,26 %
Others	6,74 %	15,78 %

DTP (GAFM)

- 44k of lines of code
- hundreds of forks

Tensorflow (Google)

- 2.5 million lines of code
- 80k forks

PyTorch (Facebook)

- 1 million lines of code
- 10k forks

Very limited amount of import/export adapters available at DTP



2	seehamrun Handle empty album names in the Smugmug Importer. (#981) 9614d54 8 days ago 				
	portability-data-transfer-backblaze	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-deezer	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-facebook	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-flickr	Update FlickrPhotosImporter to use temp store as needed (#988)		8 days	
	portability-data-transfer-google	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-imgur	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last m	
l.	portability-data-transfer-instagram	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last m	
	portability-data-transfer-koofr	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
C,	portability-data-transfer-mastodon	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-microsoft	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-offline-demo	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-rememberthemilk	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last mo	
	portability-data-transfer-smugmug	Handle empty album names in the Smugmug Importer. (#981)		8 days	
	portability-data-transfer-solid	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last m	
	portability-data-transfer-spotify	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last m	
	portability-data-transfer-twitter	Switching gradle release publishing to maven central (#980)		last m	

© 2021 GitHub, Inc. Terms Privacy Security Status

Docs

0

Pricing

API

Training

About

Blog

Contact GitHub



- 1. The EU legal framework on data portability
- 2. Data Transfer Project
- 3. Economic aspects of data portability
- 4. Data portabilty and the blockchain?

Krämer, Senellart & de Streel (2020), CERRE Policy Report



- Data can be used without depleting it (non-rivalry)
- But data is excludable
- But data collection is contested
 - Although Lambrecht and Tucker (2015), Tucker (2019): Data is ubiquitous; everyone can collect it
 - Englehardt and Narayanan (2016), Ghostery (2017): 70% of the websites employ Alphabet/Google trackers, and 30% employ Facebook trackers
 - Third party tracking made more difficult by Google and others due to recent "privacy concerns" (e.g., disabling browser cookies)
- But data value is contested
 - In the limit, only exclusive data has value to the data seller (Gu et al., 2019; Ichihashi, 2019)
 - Data sharing can lead to fierce competition and destroy investment incentives

Lambrecht, A. and Tucker, C.. Can Big Data Protect a Firm from Competition? (Dec. 18, 2015). Available at SSRN: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2705530</u> Tucker, C. (2019). Digital data, platforms and the usual [antitrust] suspects: Network effects, switching costs, essential facility. Review of Industrial Organization, 54(4), 683-694. Englehardt, S., & Narayanan, A. (2016, October). Online tracking: A 1-million-site measurement and analysis. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security (pp. 1388-1401). Ghostery (2017): <u>https://www.ghostery.com/study/</u> and Macbeth, S. (2017). Tracking the Trackers: Analyzing the Global Tracking Landscape with GhostRank. Available at: <u>https://www.ghostery.com/study/</u> and Macbeth, S. (2017). Tracking the <u>Trackers.pdf</u> Financial Times (2020). 'Cookie apocalypse' forces profound changes in online advertising. Available at: <u>https://www.ft.com/content/169079b2-3ba1-11ea-b84f-a62c46f39bc2</u> Ichihashi, S. (2019). Non-Competing Data Intermediaries (Jun. 29, 2019). Available at SSRN: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3308384.



Several game-theoretical papers exist, but empirical papers are scant

- Classic papers on switching costs and converters (Klemperer 1987; Caminal & Matutes, 1990; Farrell & Saloner, 1992)
- Wohlfarth (2019): Consumers surplus can be higher or lower (when entrant service is indeed better), but total surplus always increases with data portability
- Krämer & Stüdlein (2019): Incumbent discloses more data under portability; some consumers are worse off
- Lam & Liu (2020): Data portability can raise entry barriers, because consumers give more data to incumbent under portability
- Hidaji (2020): Data portability can reduce profitability and demand of entrants; consumers can be worse off

Some general themes

- With data portability consumers reveal more data to incumbent, because they do not feel locked in.
- Horizontal nature of regulation can benefit incumbents
- Incumbents react strategically to advent of data portability

Wohlfarth, M. (2019). Data Portability on the Internet. Business & Information Systems Engineering, 61(5), 551-574. Krämer, J., & Stüdlein, N. (2019). Data portability, data disclosure and data-induced switching costs: Some unintended consequences of the General Data Protection Regulation. Economics Letters, 181, 99-103. Lam, W. M. W., & Liu, X. (2020). Does data portability facilitate entry?. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 69, 102564. Hidaji, H. Take Out Your Data: Impact of the Right to Data Portability on Platform Competition. Available at: <u>http://www.teis-workshop.org/papers/2019/TakeYourDataWYouV3.pd</u>f



- PIMS offer a centralized dashboard that facilitate user control over consented data flows
- Possible functionalities of PIMS include
 - Identity management: Authentication at various services
 - Permission management: Overview of data transactions and connections, including management of legal rights and consent
 - Service management: Linking various data sources
 - Value exchange: Accounting and capturing the value of data, including remuneration (personal data broker)
 - Data model management: Managing semantic conversions (schemas) from one data model to another
 - Personal data transfers: Implementing interfaces (APIs) for standardised and secure data exchange between various data sources and data recipients
 - Personal data storage: Storing data from various sources, including data generated directly at the PIMS.
 - Governance support: Ensuring compliance with legal frameworks
 - Logging and accountability: Keeping historic logs of any data access and exchange facilitated by the PIMS
- But lack of standards
- Is there a sustainable business model for PIMS?

https://mydata.org

Business Models for Personal Information Management Systems (PIMS) and their economic sustainability





First question: Why would users transfer their data to PIMS?

- 1. To exert competitive pressure on big tech by creating an alternative seller on the data market
 - Only fraction of data can be ported (volunteered and observed)
 - Not all consumers will port data
 - Will PIMS ever have be able to compete with inferior data?
- 2. To gain more control over the sale of their data on data markets
 - Would only relate to data sold by the PIMS, but not by original data controller
 - Creation of an additional problem, which can then be partially fixed
 - In California (CCPA), however, consumers can deny original data controller to sell data
 - This is turn, would trigger provision of finer control rights at the originial data controller
 - Which then makes the use of PIMS obsolete
- 3. To benefit from value of their own data (\rightarrow Personal Data Broker)



Can PIMS generate revenue from data?



Personal Data Brokers (Haberer, Krämer & Schnurr, 2020) $\overline{\varrho} \quad \varrho^{p}$ 10 Price Without Personal Data Broker (M) With Personal Data Broker (MP) Positive income equilibrium CSP profit ρ^{Π} $p^{MP} > p^{N}$ Data Market Data Market 0.8 o^{CS} Consumer surplus equilibrium p Competition intensity $(1-\delta)$ â, â, . ø Ô, ø Quality Data Revenue Data Revenue Data Revenue CS^{MP} > CS^N Minimum income Data Content & Content & PDB Service Provider Service Provider 4 4 Ö, ā. • æ ø Service Service Data Data Price Price Reward quality quality 0.2 Consumers Consumers 0.0 2 8 10 Efficiency of the PDB ρ

Haberer, B., Kraemer, J., & Schnurr, D. (2020). Standing on the Shoulders of Web Giants: The Economic Effects of Personal Data Brokers. Available at SSRN: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3141946</u>

Can PIMS generate revenue from data?



<section-header>

Closing [edit]

On November 19th, 2019, Datacoup announced they will be closing and deleting all data. This is the email sent to users:

Hi there, Datacoup is shutting down operations and will be decommissioning all of our servers. Any data you previously added to the platform was never sold. If you received payments in the past, they were coming from the Datacoup treasury account. The server decommission process will erase any data you previously connected to the platform. Thanks for your support over the years. -Datacoup Team



Googling lead me to this page. Had no idea it's been a terrible experience for so many other people too. Can PIMS generate revenue from data controllers?

- PIMS as a compliance service for (small) content providers
- May work, but will have no effect on large platforms / data controllers on the Internet





- PIMS should act in the best interest of consumers.
 Only flat-subscription-fee-based business model "ethical" (German Data Ethics Commission, 2020)
 - Not dependend on amount of data
 - Not dependend on data subject
 - Not dependent on "value of data"
- But what prevents ruinuous price competition if differentiation is limited?
- Will PIMS be able to safeguard data if subscription fee is low?
- Is there a willingness to pay for PIMS if subscription fee is high?
- Is this in line with European values, where only wealthy can afford a PIMS?

German Data Ethics Commission (2020). Opinion of the Data Ethics Commission. Available at: <u>https://www.bmjv.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Themen/Fokusthemen/Gutachten_DEK_EN_lang.pdf?_blob=publicationFile&v=3</u>



Do PIMS need to generate revenues?

UNIVERSITY OF PASSAU

- State-run PIMS?
 - Is the state a dynamic enough entrepreneur?
 - Is the state the better data controller?
- Open-Source Non-Profit PIMS?
 - Data Transfer Project?
 - Incentives to participate without regulated standards?
 - Financing of centralized infrastructure?
 - Need to trust security and confidentiality

German Data Ethics Commission (2020). Opinion of the Data Ethics Commission. Available at: <u>https://www.bmjv.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Themen/Fokusthemen/Gutachten_DEK_EN_lang.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=3</u>





- 1. The EU legal framework on data portability
- 2. Data Transfer Project
- 3. Economic aspects of data portability
- 4. Data portabilty and the blockchain?

Krämer, Senellart & de Streel (2020), CERRE Policy Report



• Blockchain-based Identity management

- Self-Souvereign Identity: Independent of centralized authority (government or firms)
- Verifiable data registry for decentralized identifiers (DIDs)
- Examples
 - Tykn (https://tykn.tech/identity-management-blockchain/)
 - Idento.one (https://idento.one)
 - Cai, T., Yang, Z., Chen, W., Zheng, Z., & Yu, Y. (2020). A blockchain-assisted trust access authentication system for solid. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 71605-71616. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=9064776</u>



FIGURE 2. Proposed blockchain-assisted trust access authentication system for Solid.

Cai, T., Yang, Z., Chen, W., Zheng, Z., & Yu, Y. (2020). A blockchain-assisted trust access authentication system for solid. IEEE Access, 8, 71605-71616. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=9064776

Blockchain solutions in the context of PIMS/data portability



- Blockchain-based personal data management system
 - First things first: No personal data should ever be put on the blockchain!
 - But blockchain could store database references (pointer) to the data and check for permissions to access off-blockchain data (in decentralized or centralized DB)
 - Examples
 - Cai, T., Chen, W., & Yu, Y. (2019, December). BCSolid: A Blockchain-Based Decentralized Data Storage and Authentication Scheme for Solid. In International Conference on Blockchain and Trustworthy Systems (pp. 676-689). Springer, Singapore.

https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-15-2777-7_55

 Zyskind, G., & Nathan, O. (2015, May). Decentralizing privacy: Using blockchain to protect personal data. In 2015 IEEE Security and Privacy Workshops (pp. 180-184). IEEE.

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel7/7160794/7163193/07163223.pdf



Fig. 1. Overview of the decentralized platform.





Thank you for your attention!





Prof. Dr. Jan Krämer Lehrstuhl für Wirtschaftsinformatik mit Schwerpunkt Internet- und Telekommunikationswirtschaft

Chair of Internet and Telecommunications Business

Universität Passau Dr.-Hans-Kapfinger-Str. 12 94032 Passau Germany +49 851 509 2580
 +49 851 509 2522
 jan.kraemer@uni-passau.de
 www.uni-passau.de